

VINCENT A. PEPPER
ROBERT F. CORAZZINI
PETER GUTMANN
JOHN F. GARZIGLIA
NEAL J. FRIEDMAN
ELLEN S. MANDELL
HOWARD J. BARR
LOUISE CYBULSKI *
JENNIFER L. RICHTER *
* NOT ADMITTED IN D.C.

PEPPER & CORAZZINI

ATTORNEYS AT LAW
200 MONTGOMERY BUILDING
1776 K STREET, NORTHWEST
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20006
(202) 296-0600

ROBERT LEWIS THOMPSON
GREGG P. SKALL
E. THEODORE MALLYCK
OF COUNSEL
FREDERICK W. FORD
1909-1988

TELECOPIER (202) 296-5572

May 24, 1993

RECEIVED

MAY 24 1993

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

Ms. Donna R. Searcy
Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
Washington, D.C. 20554

Re: Amendment of Section 73.202(b) FM Table of
Allotments (Cheyenne, Wyoming)
MM Docket No. 93-20, RM-8177
Supplemental Comments

Dear Ms. Searcy:

On behalf of Jackalope Broadcasting, we hereby submit an original and four copies of its Supplemental Comments in the above-referenced proceeding.

Please direct any questions or correspondence concerning this matter to our offices.

Very truly yours,

Louise Cybulski

John F. Garziglia
Louise Cybulski
Counsel for Jackalope Broadcasting

Enclosure

No. of Copies rec'd
List A B C D E

0421

Before the
FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20554

RECEIVED

In the Matter of

1

MM Docket No. 93-20

2. Joint Licensees correctly admit, at p.1, that "their comments run counter" to the on-going policy of the Commission with respect to arguments concerning the competitive effects on operating stations affected by a given rule making proceeding. Specifically, the Commission has very recently reinforced that it "no longer addresses the potential economic impact of new allotments on existing stations." Report & Order (Pierce, Texas), DA 93-455, ¶ 13 (released May 17, 1993), citing FM Channel Assignments: Policies Regarding Detrimental Effects of Proposed New Broadcast Stations on Existing Stations, 3 FCC Rcd 638 (1988), aff'd, 4 FCC Rcd 2276 (1989).

3. Notwithstanding the procedural inappropriateness of the Joint Licensees' claims, Jackalope will substantively address the arguments. Jackalope proposes to bring diversity to the marketplace, and not to directly compete with the existing stations for listeners and, therefore, revenue. At this time, Jackalope plans to affiliate its proposed station with the Pat Robertson Network in order to provide Christian programming to Cheyenne. Although there is apparently an AM station which provides Christian programming, Jackalope's would be the first such FM service licensed to Cheyenne, excluding any FM translators of primary FM stations in other markets.

4. Jackalope specifically proposes to operate the station as a Class A facility with a goal of promoting diversity in programming to the local community, rather than technologically overpowering the existing stations in the market. Jackalope

merely seeks to appeal to an audience which is not currently served by the existing Christian programming available.

CONCLUSION

In light of the foregoing, Jackalope Broadcasting respectfully requests that the Commission accept and fully consider these Supplemental Comments in response to the Joint Reply Comments filed by KMUS, Inc. and Blue Sky Broadcasting, Inc.

Respectfully submitted,

JACKALOPE BROADCASTING

By

Louise Cypulski
John F. Garzagli

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Tracey S. Westbrook, a secretary in the law firm of
Pepper and Corazzini, do hereby certify that copies of the

Exhibit "Supplemental Comments" were served this 24th day of